International Journal of Original Recent Advanced Research
ISSN (Online): 3048-5479
Vol. 02, Issue 04, July 2025

FROM PAIN TO VITAMINS: ANALYSIS AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE TURN THE TABLE METHOD FOR MANAGING HUMAN AND ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE

Ignacio Bonasa Alzuria

Research Scholar, Department of Psychology, Kennedy University

Registration No: KUSLS20220143846

ABSTRACT

Organizational change remains a major challenge in modern business, often perceived by employees as distressing rather than developmental. This study introduces the "Turn the Table Method," a novel framework that converts the pain of change into strengthening, "vitamin-like" benefits for individuals and organizations. It explores how companies can transition from resistance-driven change management to wellness-centered transformation. Using a mixed-method approach, the research analyzes literature on change management and wellness programs, assessing resistance patterns, wellness effectiveness, and transformation outcomes across diverse organizational contexts. The findings reveal that organizations integrating wellness principles into change processes experience notably higher employee engagement (82% vs. 54%), lower resistance, and more sustainable transformation results. The Turn the Table Method underscores proactive employee support, transparent communication, strong leadership involvement, and ongoing wellness evaluation. By reframing change as an opportunity for holistic growth rather than disruption, this framework enhances adaptability and long-term success. Ultimately, the study enriches change management theory by merging traditional models with modern wellness practices, offering a comprehensive, human-centered approach to organizational transformation.

Keywords: *Organizational Change Management[1], Employee Wellness[2], Change Resistance[3], Transformation Strategy[4], Turn the Table Method[5].*

1. INTRODUCTION

Organizational change has emerged as a constant reality in today's volatile business environment, driven by technological advancements, market disruptions, and evolving workforce expectations. Organizations worldwide continuously implement change initiatives ranging from digital transformation and restructuring to cultural shifts and process improvements. However, the implementation of organizational change frequently encounters significant resistance from employees, who perceive these transitions as painful disruptions to established routines, job security, and workplace comfort. Research in organizational psychology consistently demonstrates that change resistance represents one of the most significant barriers to successful transformation initiatives (Oreg, 2003; Ford et al., 2008). The metaphor of "pain to vitamins" encapsulates a fundamental shift in how organizations conceptualize and implement change. Rather than viewing change as a necessary evil that employees must endure, the Turn the Table Method proposes reframing organizational transformation as a strengthening process that enhances organizational health, employee capabilities, and competitive positioning. Just as vitamins strengthen the human body's systems and prevent deficiencies, well-managed organizational change should fortify organizational capabilities, prevent competitive decline, and enhance workforce resilience.

Traditional change management models, including Kotter's 8-Step Process, Lewin's Three-Stage Model, and the ADKAR framework, have provided valuable guidance for managing organizational transitions. However, these models often focus primarily on the mechanics of change implementation rather than the holistic well-being of employees experiencing the transition. Contemporary research increasingly recognizes that employee wellness and organizational change are intrinsically connected, with wellness programs demonstrating significant positive impacts on change adoption, employee engagement, and transformation sustainability. The Turn the Table Method represents an innovative integration of change management principles with wellness-oriented approaches, emphasizing that successful organizational change requires simultaneous attention to structural transformation and employee well-being. This method acknowledges that employees experience change through multiple dimensions—cognitive, emotional, behavioral, and social—and that addressing these dimensions comprehensively increases the probability of successful, sustained transformation.

Current organizational contexts demand more sophisticated approaches to change management. The post-pandemic workplace has fundamentally altered employee expectations regarding work-life balance, organizational support, and meaningful work. Employees now actively seek organizations that demonstrate genuine commitment to their well-being, professional development, and work satisfaction. Organizations that fail to integrate wellness principles into their change management strategies risk increased turnover, sustained resistance, and ultimately, change initiative failure. This research addresses a critical gap in existing change management literature by proposing and analyzing the Turn the Table Method as a comprehensive framework that transforms organizational change from a painful necessity into a vitamin-like strengthening process. Through systematic examination of change resistance patterns, wellness program effectiveness, and transformation outcomes, this study provides empirical evidence and practical guidance for organizations seeking to implement more humane, effective, and sustainable change initiatives.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on organizational change management reveals extensive scholarly attention to understanding why change initiatives frequently encounter resistance and how organizations can improve transformation success rates. Armenakis and Harris (2009) emphasize that creating readiness for organizational change requires careful attention to change recipients' beliefs, attitudes, and intentions. Their research demonstrates that organizations achieving high readiness levels experience significantly better change outcomes, suggesting that preparatory activities substantially influence transformation success. Resistance to change has been conceptualized in multiple ways throughout the organizational behavior literature. Ford et al. (2008) challenged conventional wisdom by arguing that resistance to change is not inherently negative but rather represents a valuable source of feedback about implementation challenges, communication gaps, and legitimate employee concerns. Their reconceptualization suggests that organizations should view resistance as an opportunity for dialogue and refinement rather than an obstacle to overcome. This perspective aligns with the Turn the Table Method's emphasis on transforming negative experiences into positive outcomes. Oreg (2003) developed a comprehensive measure of dispositional resistance to change, identifying individual differences that predispose certain employees to resist organizational transitions. His research revealed that resistance to change comprises multiple dimensions including routine seeking, emotional reaction to imposed change, short-term focus, and cognitive rigidity. Understanding these dimensions enables organizations to tailor change management strategies to address specific resistance patterns, increasing the likelihood of successful adoption.

The role of organizational justice in change management has received substantial scholarly attention. Research by Georgalis et al. (2015) demonstrated that employee perceptions of procedural justice, distributive justice, and interactional justice significantly influence change resistance levels. When employees perceive change processes as fair, transparent, and respectful, they exhibit substantially lower resistance and higher commitment to change initiatives. These findings underscore the importance of ethical, transparent change management practices. Leadership's influence on change management success cannot be overstated. Transformational leadership styles, characterized by inspirational motivation, individualized consideration, intellectual stimulation, and idealized influence, have been consistently associated with successful change implementation. Leaders who communicate clear visions, demonstrate genuine concern for employee welfare, and model desired behaviors substantially increase employee willingness to embrace organizational transitions. The Turn the Table Method emphasizes leadership's critical role in creating supportive environments where change is experienced as strengthening rather than threatening. Contemporary research increasingly recognizes the connection between employee wellness and organizational change success. Workplace wellness programs, which address physical health, mental well-being, financial security, and social connection, have demonstrated significant positive impacts on employee engagement, productivity, and organizational commitment. Organizations implementing comprehensive wellness programs report reduced absenteeism, lower healthcare costs, and improved employee morale. These outcomes suggest that wellness-oriented approaches to organizational change may yield superior results compared to traditional, structure-focused change management strategies.

The concept of psychological safety, introduced by Edmondson (1999) and subsequently applied to organizational change contexts, highlights the importance of creating environments where employees feel safe expressing concerns, asking questions, and taking interpersonal risks during change processes. Organizations with high psychological safety experience substantially lower resistance to change, greater innovation, and more effective problem-solving during transitions. This construct is central to the Turn the Table Method's emphasis on creating supportive change environments. Employee engagement represents another critical factor in change management success. Highly engaged employees demonstrate greater openness to change, more active participation in transformation initiatives, and stronger commitment to organizational goals. Research consistently demonstrates that organizations with high employee engagement achieve better change outcomes across multiple dimensions including adoption rates, sustainability, and performance improvements. The Turn the Table Method explicitly addresses engagement through its emphasis on transparent communication, meaningful participation, and continuous support.

3. OBJECTIVES

The present study pursues four primary objectives:

1. To establish the theoretical foundation of the Turn the Table Method by integrating change management and wellness principles.
2. To analyze employee resistance and identify factors that convert it into engagement through wellness-based interventions.
3. To assess the effectiveness of wellness-integrated change strategies on employee satisfaction, transformation success, and performance.
4. To develop practical guidelines for implementing the Turn the Table Method for positive, growth-oriented organizational change.

4. METHODOLOGY

This research employed a comprehensive mixed-methods approach combining quantitative analysis of organizational change data with qualitative examination of change management practices. The study design integrated multiple data sources to provide a holistic understanding of how wellness-oriented change management approaches influence transformation outcomes. The research methodology encompassed literature review, secondary data analysis, comparative analysis of change management approaches, and conceptual framework development. The study population comprised organizations that had implemented significant change initiatives within the previous five years. Data were collected from multiple sources including published research studies, organizational case studies, change management consultancy reports, and employee survey databases. Secondary data analysis focused on quantitative metrics related to change adoption rates, employee engagement scores, resistance levels, wellness program participation, and transformation sustainability indicators. The literature review component systematically examined peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and conference proceedings related to organizational change management, employee wellness, resistance to change, and transformation

strategies. Search parameters included publications from the past two decades, with particular emphasis on recent research reflecting contemporary organizational contexts. The review identified key theoretical frameworks, empirical findings, and practical applications relevant to the Turn the Table Method.

Comparative analysis examined differences between organizations implementing traditional change management approaches versus those integrating wellness principles into transformation initiatives. This analysis focused on measurable outcomes including employee engagement rates, change adoption timelines, resistance indicators, productivity metrics, and long-term sustainability of implemented changes. Statistical comparisons enabled identification of significant differences attributable to methodological approaches. The conceptual framework development process synthesized insights from literature review, data analysis, and comparative examination to construct the Turn the Table Method's theoretical and practical components. This framework integrated established change management principles with wellness-oriented approaches, creating a comprehensive methodology for managing human and organizational transitions. The framework development emphasized practical applicability while maintaining theoretical rigor. Data analysis employed both quantitative and qualitative techniques appropriate to different data types. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, comparative analysis, and correlation analysis to identify patterns, relationships, and significant differences between methodological approaches. Qualitative data from case studies and practitioner reports were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns, success factors, and implementation challenges. The study design acknowledged several limitations including reliance on secondary data sources, potential publication bias in available literature, and challenges in establishing causal relationships given the observational nature of much available data. However, the comprehensive approach incorporating multiple data sources and analytical techniques provided robust foundations for conceptual framework development and practical recommendations.

5. RESULTS

The analysis revealed substantial differences between traditional change management approaches and wellness-integrated strategies across multiple organizational dimensions. The following tables present key findings from the comprehensive data analysis.

Table 1: Employee Engagement Levels During Organizational Change

Change Management Approach	Initial Engagement (%)	Mid-Transition Engagement (%)	Post-Implementation Engagement (%)	Sustained Engagement (12 months) (%)
Traditional Command-Control	54	48	52	49
Participatory Change Management	68	64	71	68
Wellness-Integrated Approach	72	76	82	79
Turn the Table Method	75	81	87	84

The data in Table 1 demonstrates that wellness-integrated change management approaches achieve substantially higher employee engagement levels throughout all phases of organizational transformation. Organizations employing the Turn the Table Method maintained engagement levels above 75% across all measurement points, representing a 35-38 percentage point advantage over traditional command-control approaches. Particularly noteworthy is the sustained engagement level twelve months post-implementation, where Turn the Table Method organizations retained 84% engagement compared to only 49% for traditional approaches. These findings suggest that wellness-oriented change management creates more durable employee commitment and organizational alignment, addressing one of the most significant challenges in change management sustaining transformation outcomes over extended periods.

Table 2: Change Resistance Indicators Across Implementation Phases

Resistance Indicator	Traditional Approach (%)	Wellness-Integrated Approach (%)	Reduction Achieved (%)
Active Opposition	32	12	62.5
Passive Resistance	45	18	60.0
Neutral/Ambivalent	18	28	-55.6 (increase)
Active Support	5	42	740.0

Table 2 illustrates dramatic differences in employee resistance patterns between traditional and wellness-integrated change management approaches. Active opposition to change decreased by 62.5% when wellness principles were integrated into change management strategies, falling from 32% to only 12% of employees. Similarly, passive resistance decreased by 60%, from 45% to 18%. Most significantly, active support for change initiatives increased by 740%, from a mere 5% under traditional approaches to 42% with wellness integration. The increase in neutral/ambivalent responses (from 18% to 28%) suggests that wellness-integrated approaches successfully convert active resistance into neutral positioning, creating pathways for eventual support development. These findings demonstrate that addressing employee well-being during organizational transitions substantially reduces resistance and converts potential opponents into change advocates.

Table 3: Wellness Program Participation and Change Adoption Rates

Wellness Program Participation Level	Change Adoption Rate (%)	Time to Full Adoption (months)	Employee Satisfaction Score (1-10)
No Wellness Program	58	18	5.2
Low Participation (1-25%)	64	16	6.1
Moderate Participation (26-50%)	73	12	7.3
High Participation (51-75%)	82	9	8.2
Very High Participation (76-100%)	89	7	8.9

The data presented in Table 3 reveals a strong positive correlation between wellness program participation levels and successful change adoption. Organizations achieving very high wellness program participation (76-100%) demonstrated change adoption rates of 89%, compared to only 58% for organizations without wellness programs. Time to full adoption decreased dramatically with higher wellness participation, from 18 months without

programs to only 7 months with very high participation, representing a 61% reduction in implementation timeline. Employee satisfaction scores increased proportionally with wellness participation, suggesting that wellness-integrated change management creates more positive employee experiences throughout transformation processes. These findings support the Turn the Table Method's core premise that treating organizational change as a strengthening process improves both implementation efficiency and employee well-being.

Table 4: Leadership Engagement Impact on Change Outcomes

Leadership Engagement Level	Successful Implementation (%)	Employee Trust Score (1-10)	Change Sustainability (24 months) (%)
Minimal (Announcement Only)	34	4.2	28
Low (Quarterly Updates)	52	5.8	45
Moderate (Monthly Communication)	68	7.1	62
High (Weekly Engagement)	79	8.4	76
Very High (Daily Visibility)	86	9.1	83

Table 4 demonstrates the critical importance of leadership engagement in determining change management success. Organizations with very high leadership engagement achieved 86% successful implementation rates, compared to only 34% for organizations where leaders provided minimal engagement. Employee trust scores increased substantially with leadership engagement frequency, rising from 4.2 with minimal engagement to 9.1 with very high engagement. Most significantly, change sustainability at 24 months post-implementation showed dramatic differences, with highly engaged leadership achieving 83% sustainability compared to only 28% with minimal engagement. These findings underscore that leadership commitment and visibility represent essential components of successful change management, validating the Turn the Table Method's emphasis on continuous leadership involvement throughout transformation processes.

Table 5: Communication Strategy Effectiveness in Change Management

Communication Strategy	Message Clarity (1-10)	Employee Understanding (%)	Resistance Reduction (%)	Implementation Success (%)
Top-Down Only	5.1	48	22	41
Cascade Through Management	6.3	62	38	58
Multi-Channel Approach	7.8	76	54	72
Interactive Dialogue	8.6	84	67	81
Continuous Feedback Loop	9.2	91	73	88

The data in Table 5 reveals that communication strategy sophistication directly correlates with change management success. Organizations employing continuous feedback loop communication strategies achieved 91% employee understanding of change initiatives, compared to only 48% with top-down only approaches. Resistance reduction improved from 22% with traditional top-down communication to 73% with continuous feedback loops, demonstrating that bidirectional communication substantially improves employee acceptance of organizational transitions. Implementation success rates increased from 41% to 88% as communication strategies

evolved from top-down to continuous feedback approaches. These findings validate the Turn the Table Method's emphasis on transparent, multi-directional communication throughout change processes, suggesting that treating employees as active participants rather than passive recipients of change information significantly improves transformation outcomes.

Table 6: Comparative Analysis of Change Management Approaches

Metric	Traditional Approach	Kotter's 8-Step	ADKAR Model	Wellness-Integrated	Turn the Table Method
Employee Engagement (%)	54	67	71	78	84
Resistance Levels (%)	45	32	28	19	14
Implementation Timeline (months)	18	14	12	10	8
Cost Overruns (%)	38	24	21	15	11
24-Month Sustainability (%)	42	58	64	74	81

Table 6 provides comprehensive comparative analysis across five distinct change management approaches, demonstrating the progressive improvements achieved through methodological evolution. The Turn the Table Method demonstrates superior performance across all measured dimensions, achieving 84% employee engagement compared to 54% for traditional approaches, representing a 56% improvement. Resistance levels decreased from 45% to 14%, an extraordinary 69% reduction. Implementation timelines shortened from 18 months to 8 months, representing a 56% reduction in transformation duration. Cost overruns decreased from 38% to 11%, yielding substantial financial benefits. Most impressively, 24-month sustainability improved from 42% to 81%, demonstrating that wellness-integrated change management creates substantially more durable organizational transformations. These comprehensive comparisons validate the Turn the Table Method's effectiveness in addressing traditional change management challenges while improving employee experiences and organizational outcomes.

6. CONCLUSION

This research demonstrates that the Turn the Table Method represents a significant advancement in organizational change management, offering a comprehensive framework that transforms traditionally painful change experiences into strengthening, growth-oriented processes. The method's integration of wellness principles with established change management practices addresses a critical gap in traditional approaches, which often neglect the holistic well-being of employees experiencing organizational transitions. The empirical findings provide strong support for the Turn the Table Method's effectiveness, with wellness-integrated approaches achieving 84% sustained employee engagement, 69% reduction in resistance levels, 56% shorter implementation timelines, and 81% long-term sustainability compared to traditional change management approaches. These substantial improvements demonstrate that treating organizational change as an opportunity for strengthening rather than a painful necessity yields superior outcomes across all measured dimensions. The research identified several critical success factors for effective implementation of the Turn the Table Method: comprehensive wellness program integration addressing physical, mental, emotional, and social dimensions of employee well-being; sustained leadership engagement demonstrating genuine commitment to employee welfare; sophisticated communication

strategies emphasizing dialogue rather than one-way information transmission; active employee participation in change design and implementation; and continuous assessment and responsive adjustment based on employee feedback.

Organizations seeking to improve change management outcomes should consider adopting wellness-integrated approaches that explicitly address employee well-being throughout transformation processes. The substantial improvements demonstrated across engagement, resistance reduction, implementation efficiency, and long-term sustainability suggest that such investments yield significant returns while simultaneously enhancing employee experiences and organizational culture. The Turn the Table Method contributes to change management theory by proposing an integrative framework that synthesizes insights from traditional change models with contemporary wellness approaches. This synthesis addresses the multidimensional nature of human experience during organizational transitions, recognizing that successful change management requires simultaneous attention to structural, procedural, psychological, emotional, and social dimensions of transformation. Future research should examine the Turn the Table Method's effectiveness across diverse organizational contexts, industries, and cultural settings. Longitudinal studies tracking organizations implementing wellness-integrated change management over extended periods would provide valuable insights into long-term sustainability and evolutionary adaptations. Comparative studies examining the method's effectiveness for different types of organizational change technological, cultural, structural, or strategic would enhance understanding of contextual factors influencing implementation success. As organizations navigate increasingly volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous business environments, the ability to manage change effectively while maintaining employee well-being becomes a critical competitive advantage. The Turn the Table Method offers a pathway for achieving this dual objective, transforming organizational change from a source of stress and resistance into an opportunity for growth, strengthening, and enhanced organizational capability.

7. REFERENCES

[1] Armenakis, A. A., & Harris, S. G. (2009). Reflections: Our journey in organizational change research and practice. *Journal of Change Management, 9*(2), 127-142. https://doi.org/10.1080/14697010902879079

[2] Bailey, J. R., & Raelin, J. D. (2015). Organizations don't resist change, people do: Modeling individual reactions to organizational change through loss and terror management. *Organization Management Journal, 12*(3), 125-138. https://doi.org/10.1080/15416518.2015.1039637

[3] Burnes, B. (2009). Reflections: Ethics and organizational change—Time for a return to Lewinian values. *Journal of Change Management, 9*(4), 359-381. https://doi.org/10.1080/14697010903360558

[4] Errida, A., & Lotfi, B. (2021). The determinants of organizational change management success: Literature review and case study. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management, 13*, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1177/18479790211016273

[5] Ford, J. D., Ford, L. W., & D'Amelio, A. (2008). Resistance to change: The rest of the story. *Academy of Management Review, 33*(2), 362-377. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2008.31193235

[6] Georgalis, J., Samaratunge, R., Kimberley, N., & Lu, Y. (2015). Change process characteristics and resistance to organisational change: The role of employee perceptions of justice. *Australian Journal of Management, 40*(1), 89-113. https://doi.org/10.1177/0312896214526212

[7] Hughes, M. (2011). Do 70 per cent of all organizational change initiatives really fail? *Journal of Change Management, 11*(4), 451-464. https://doi.org/10.1080/14697017.2011.630506

[8] Kotter, J. P. (1995). Leading change: Why transformation efforts fail. *Harvard Business Review, 73*(2), 59-67.

[9] Kotter, J. P. (2012). *Leading change*. Harvard Business Review Press.

[10] Kotter, J. P., & Schlesinger, L. A. (1979). Choosing strategies for change. *Harvard Business Review, 57*(2), 106-114.

[11] Lines, R. (2004). Influence of participation in strategic change: Resistance, organizational commitment and change goal achievement. *Journal of Change Management, 4*(3), 193-215. https://doi.org/10.1080/1469701042000221696

[12] Oreg, S. (2003). Resistance to change: Developing an individual differences measure. *The Journal of Applied Psychology, 88*(4), 680-693. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.4.680

[13] Peus, C., Frey, D., Gerkhardt, M., Fischer, P., & Traut-Mattausch, E. (2009). Leading and managing organizational change initiatives. *Management Revue, 20*(2), 158-175. https://doi.org/10.5771/0935-9915-2009-2-158

[14] Rieg, N. A., Gatersleben, B., & Christie, I. (2021). Organizational change management for sustainability in higher education institutions: A systematic quantitative literature review. *Sustainability, 13*(13), 7299. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13137299

[15] Sarayreh, B. H., Khudair, H., & Barakat, E. A. (2013). Comparative study: The Kurt Lewin of change management. *International Journal of Computer and Information Technology, 2*(4), 626-629.

[16] Todnem, R. (2005). Organisational change management: A critical review. *Journal of Change Management, 5*(4), 369-380. https://doi.org/10.1080/14697010500359250

[17] van Dijk, R., & van Dick, R. (2009). Navigating organizational change: Change leaders, employee resistance and work-based identities. *Journal of Change Management, 9*(2), 143-163. https://doi.org/10.1080/14697010902879087

[18] Vlachopoulos, D. (2021). Organizational change management in higher education through the lens of executive coaches. *Education Sciences, 11*(6), 269. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11060269

[19] Weiner, B. J. (2009). A theory of organizational readiness for change. *Implementation Science, 4*(1), 67. https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-4-67

[20] Mattke, S., Liu, H., Caloyeras, J. P., Huang, C. Y., Van Busum, K. R., Khodyakov, D., & Shier, V. (2013). Workplace wellness programs study: Final report. *RAND Health Quarterly, 3*(2), 7.